

[T.K] 8.8.5.1 Let  $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a filtration and  $X$  a random variable;  $E[X] < \infty$ .

Let  $X_n = E[X | \mathcal{F}_n]$ .

To show:  $(X_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is a martingale with respect to  $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \geq 1}$ .

Definitions: \* Let  $\Omega$  be some space.  $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is called a filtration if  $\mathcal{F}_n$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\Omega$   $\forall n \geq 1$  and  $\mathcal{F}_n \subset \mathcal{F}_{n+1}$   $\forall n \geq 1$ .

\* Let  $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a filtration and for each  $n \geq 1$ , let  $Z_n: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $\mathcal{F}_n$ -measurable random variable.

The sequence  $(Z_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is a martingale if  $E[Z_n] < \infty$   $\forall n \geq 1$  and  $E[Z_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] = Z_n$   $\forall n \geq 1$ .

We just need to check these properties.

1/ By definition of conditional expectation,  $X_n = E[X | \mathcal{F}_n]$  is  $\mathcal{F}_n$ -measurable.

2/  $E[X_n] = E[E[X | \mathcal{F}_n]] = E[X] < \infty$ ,  $\forall n \geq 1$ .  
property of conditional expectation

3/  $E[X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] = E[E[X | \mathcal{F}_{n+1}] | \mathcal{F}_n] = E[X | \mathcal{F}_n] = X_n$   
Tower property

$\Rightarrow (X_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is a martingale!

QED.

[T.K] 8.8.5.3 Let  $(X_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a martingale with respect to the filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \geq 1}$ .

To show:  $\forall n \geq 1$ ,  $E[X_{n+1}] = E[X_n] = \dots = E[X_1]$ .

By induction:  $E[X_1] = E[X_1]$ : OK

Suppose  $E[X_k] = E[X_1]$  for some  $k \geq 1$ , we want to see that  $E[X_{k+1}] = E[X_1]$ .  
induction's assumption.

$$E[X_{k+1}] = E[E[X_{k+1} | \mathcal{F}_k]] = E[X_k] = E[X_1]$$

property of conditional expectation  $(X_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is a martingale

(You can see it as a consequence of the)

Tower property

Hence  $\forall n \geq 1$ ,  $E[X_n] = E[X_1]$ .

QED

[T.K] 3.8.5.4 Let  $(X_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a martingale with respect to the filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \geq 1}$ .

To show:  $\forall n \geq m \geq 1, E[X_n | \mathcal{F}_m] = X_m$

Fix  $m \geq 1$  and show the result by induction on  $n \geq m$ .

if  $n=m$ ,  $E[X_m | \mathcal{F}_m] = X_m$ , because  $X_m$  is  $\mathcal{F}_m$ -measurable since  $(X_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is a martingale.

suppose  $E[X_{m+k} | \mathcal{F}_m] = X_m$  for some  $k \geq 0$ . We want to see that  $E[X_{m+k+1} | \mathcal{F}_m] = X_m$ .

$$E[X_{m+k+1} | \mathcal{F}_m] = \underbrace{E[E[X_{m+k+1} | \mathcal{F}_{m+k}] | \mathcal{F}_m]}_{\text{Tower property}} = \underbrace{E[X_{m+k} | \mathcal{F}_m]}_{\substack{\text{induction's assumption} \\ (X_n)_{n \geq 1} \text{ is a martingale}}} = X_m$$

Thus  $\forall n, m \geq 1, E[X_n | \mathcal{F}_m] = X_m$ .

QED

[T.K] 3.8.5.5 Let  $(X_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be an independent or identically distributed sequence of random variables with  $E[X_n] = \mu$  and  $\text{Var}[X_n] = \sigma^2 \forall n \geq 1$ .

Define  $W_0 = 0$  and  $W_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \forall n \geq 1$ . Also set  $\mathcal{F}_n := \sigma(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) \forall n \geq 1$  and  $S_n = (W_n - n\mu)^2 - n\sigma^2$

To show:  $(S_n)_{n \geq 0}$  is a martingale with respect to  $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \geq 0}$ .

1/ We want to show that  $\forall n \geq 0, S_n$  is  $\mathcal{F}_n$ -measurable.

$S_n$  can be seen as the following map:  $(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) \xrightarrow{f_1} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \xrightarrow{f_2} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - n\mu \xrightarrow{f_3} (\sum_{i=1}^n X_i - n\mu)^2 \xrightarrow{f_4} (\sum_{i=1}^n X_i - n\mu)^2 - n\sigma^2$

$f_1: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous

$f_2, f_3, f_4: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous.

By the course, all continuous functions preserve the measurability on  $\mathbb{R}$ , i.e.  $f'((-\infty, a])$  is measurable in  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\forall a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

By construction of  $\sigma(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ ,  $(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$  is measurable with respect to  $\sigma(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$

Hence  $\forall n \geq 1, S_n = f_4 \circ f_3 \circ f_2 \circ f_1(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$  is still measurable with respect to  $\sigma(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ .

OK!

2/ we want to show that  $E[S_n] < \infty \forall n \geq 0$ .  $E[S_0] = E[W_0^2] = 0$ . linearity of  $E$

$$E[S_n] = E[(W_n - n\mu)^2 - n\sigma^2] = E[(W_n - n\mu)^2] - n\sigma^2 = E[W_n^2 - 2n\mu W_n + n^2\mu^2] - n\sigma^2 = E[W_n^2] - 2n\mu E[W_n] + n^2\mu^2 - n\sigma^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } E[W_n] &= E\left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right] \stackrel{\text{linearity of } E}{=} \sum_{i=1}^n E[X_i] \stackrel{E[X_i] = \mu \forall i \geq 1}{=} n\mu \quad \text{and} \quad E[W_n^2] = E\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right)^2\right] \stackrel{\text{develop the square}}{=} E\left[\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n X_i X_j\right] \\ &= \sum_{i,j} E[X_i X_j] = \sum_{i=1}^n E[X_i^2] + \sum_{i \neq j} E[X_i X_j] \\ &= E[X_1^2] + n(n-1)E[X_1 X_2] \stackrel{\text{by independence}}{=} E[X_1^2] + n(n-1)\mu^2 \end{aligned}$$

And  $E[X_i^2] = \text{Var}[X_i] + E[X_i]^2 = \sigma^2 + \mu^2$

By definition of the variance

$\text{Var}[X_i] = \sigma^2 \forall i \geq 1$   
and  $E[X_i] = \mu \forall i \geq 1$

Hence  $E[W_n^2] = \sum_{i \neq j} E[X_i X_j] + \sum_{i=1}^n E[X_i^2] = \sum_{i \neq j} \mu^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n (\mu^2 + \sigma^2) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \mu^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma^2 = n^2 \mu^2 + n \sigma^2$   
 $= E[X_i] E[X_j] = \mu^2$   
 independence

Thus  $E[S_n] = E[W_n^2] - 2n\mu E[W_n] + n^2 \mu^2 \cdot n \sigma^2 = n^2 \mu^2 + n \sigma^2 - 2n\mu(n\mu) + n^2 \mu^2 \cdot n \sigma^2 = 0 < \infty$

Consequently  $E[S_n] < \infty \forall n \geq 1$ .

OK!

3.) We want to see that  $E[S_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] = S_n \forall n \geq 1$ .

First remark that since  $S_n = E[S_n | \mathcal{F}_n]$ ,  $E[S_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] = S_n \Leftrightarrow E[S_{n+1} - S_n | \mathcal{F}_n] = 0 \forall n \geq 1$ .  
 $S_n$  is  $\mathcal{F}_n$ -measurable

Now  $E[S_{n+1} - S_n | \mathcal{F}_n] = E[\underbrace{(W_{n+1} - (n+1)\mu)^2 - (n+1)\sigma^2 - (W_n - n\mu)^2 - n\sigma^2}_{=: A} | \mathcal{F}_n]$

Let's develop  $A$ :  $(W_{n+1} - (n+1)\mu)^2 - (n+1)\sigma^2 = W_{n+1}^2 - 2(n+1)\mu W_{n+1} + (n+1)^2 \mu^2 - (n+1)\sigma^2$   
 $(W_n - n\mu)^2 - n\sigma^2 = W_n^2 - 2n\mu W_n + n^2 \mu^2 - n\sigma^2$

Hence  $A = (W_{n+1}^2 - W_n^2) - 2n\mu (W_{n+1} - W_n) - 2\mu W_{n+1} + \mu^2 ((n+1)^2 - n^2) - \sigma^2 ((n+1) - n)$   
 $= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} X_i - \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = X_{n+1}$   
 $= 2n+1$

linearity of  $E[\cdot | \mathcal{F}_n]$

$\Rightarrow E[A | \mathcal{F}_n] = E[W_{n+1}^2 - W_n^2 | \mathcal{F}_n] - 2n\mu E[X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] - 2\mu E[W_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] + (2n+1)\mu^2 - \sigma^2$

①  $E[W_{n+1}^2 - W_n^2 | \mathcal{F}_n] = E[W_{n+1}^2 | \mathcal{F}_n] - W_n^2 = E[(W_n + X_{n+1})^2 | \mathcal{F}_n] - W_n^2 = E[W_n^2 + 2W_n X_{n+1} + X_{n+1}^2 | \mathcal{F}_n] - W_n^2$   
 $W_n^2$  is  $\mathcal{F}_n$ -measurable by definition of  $W_{n+1}$

$= E[W_n^2 | \mathcal{F}_n] + 2E[W_n X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] + E[X_{n+1}^2 | \mathcal{F}_n] - W_n^2 = W_n^2 + 2W_n \mu + \sigma^2 + \mu^2 - W_n^2 = 2W_n \mu + \sigma^2 + \mu^2$   
 $= 2W_n \cdot E[X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] = 2W_n \cdot \mu$   
 $\hookrightarrow X_{n+1}$  is independent of  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ .

$W_n^2$  is  $\mathcal{F}_n$ -measurable  
 $W_n$  is  $\mathcal{F}_n$ -measurable

$$\textcircled{2} \quad E[X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] = E[X_{n+1}] = \mu.$$

$X_{n+1}$  is independent of

$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad E[W_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] \stackrel{\text{linearity of } E[\cdot | \mathcal{F}_n]}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} E[X_i | \mathcal{F}_n] = \sum_{i=1}^n E[X_i | \mathcal{F}_n] + E[X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i + E[X_{n+1}] = W_n + \mu.$$

$X_i$  is  $\mathcal{F}_n$ -measurable  $\forall 1 \leq i \leq n$

$X_{n+1}$  is independent of  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$

$$\text{Hence } E[S_{n+1} - S_n | \mathcal{F}_n] = E[A | \mathcal{F}_n] = 2W_n\mu + \sigma^2 + \mu^2 - 2n\mu^2 - 2\mu(W_n + \mu) + (2n+1)\mu^2 - \sigma^2$$

$$= 2W_n\mu + \sigma^2 + \mu^2 - 2n\mu^2 - 2W_n\mu - 2\mu^2 + 2n\mu^2 + \mu^2 - \sigma^2 = 0$$

OK!

Hence  $(S_n)_{n \geq 0}$  is a martingale.

QED

Remark: Part 2. could be skipped if each  $S_n$  is bounded either from above or from below. Then if you show 2./, compute  $E[S_0]$  and invoke [T.K] 3.8.5.3,  $(S_n)_{n \geq 1}$  being a martingale is proved.

[T.K] 3.8.5.6 (a). Let  $(X_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence of independent random variables.

Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two distinct probability densities on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

$$\text{Set } L_n := \frac{f(X_0) \cdot f(X_1) \cdot \dots \cdot f(X_n)}{g(X_0) \cdot g(X_1) \cdot \dots \cdot g(X_n)} \quad \forall n \geq 1 \text{ and assume that } g(x) > 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

To show:  $(L_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is a martingale with respect to  $\sigma(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n)$ , if  $\forall H$  Borel,  $E[H(X)] = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} H(x)g(x)dx$

1./  $L_n$  is  $\sigma(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n)$ -measurable  $\forall n \geq 1$ .

Since  $f$  and  $g$  are probability densities on  $\mathbb{R}$ , they are measurable on  $\mathbb{R}$  and since  $L_n : (X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n) \mapsto \frac{f(X_0)}{g(X_0)} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{f(X_n)}{g(X_n)}$

$f$  is measurable on  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $L_n$  is  $\sigma(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n)$ -measurable.

$$2./ \quad E[L_0] = E\left[\frac{f(X_0)}{g(X_0)}\right] = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} g(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) dx = 1 \text{ since } f \text{ is a probability density.}$$

Hence  $E[L_0] < +\infty$ .

By the previous remark, if one can show 3./, the sequence will be a martingale, since  $L_n > 0 \quad \forall n \geq 1$ .

3./ We want to see that  $E[L_{n+1} - L_n | \mathcal{F}_n] = 0 \quad \forall n \geq 1$ . Fix  $n \geq 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} E[L_{n+1} - L_n | \mathcal{F}_n] &= E\left[\frac{f(X_0)}{g(X_0)} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{f(X_n)}{g(X_n)} \cdot \frac{f(X_{n+1})}{g(X_{n+1})} - \frac{f(X_0)}{g(X_0)} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{f(X_n)}{g(X_n)} \mid \mathcal{F}_n\right] = E\left[\left(\frac{f(X_0)}{g(X_0)} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{f(X_n)}{g(X_n)}\right) \left(\frac{f(X_{n+1})}{g(X_{n+1})} - 1\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_n\right] \\ &= \frac{f(X_0)}{g(X_0)} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{f(X_n)}{g(X_n)} \cdot E\left[\frac{f(X_{n+1})}{g(X_{n+1})} - 1 \mid \mathcal{F}_n\right] = \frac{f(X_0)}{g(X_0)} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{f(X_n)}{g(X_n)} \cdot E\left[\frac{f(X_{n+1})}{g(X_{n+1})} - 1\right] = \frac{f(X_0)}{g(X_0)} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{f(X_n)}{g(X_n)} \cdot \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} g(x) dx - 1\right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow (L_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is a martingale.

QED